

The Hitchhiker's Guide to Post-Heatwave Seed Germination: Don't Panic!



A thesis submitted to the University of Technology Sydney in completion of the
degree of Master of Science (Research)

Philippa Roslyn Alvarez

BSc (Environmental Sciences), University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of Science, School of Life Sciences

University of Technology Sydney

October 2020

Supervisors

Dr Brad Murray (UTS)

Dr Megan Murray (UTS)

Dr Cathy Offord (ABG)

Certificate of original authorship

I, Philippa Roslyn Alvarez, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Science (Research), in the School of Life Sciences, Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 25/10/2020

Acknowledgements

I have so very many people to thank for helping me during this experience, so I think I shall start from the beginning. To my parents: Mum, Papa, and Dad (I have a wonderfully confusing family). I wish to thank you all for being so very supportive of my journey through this chaos we call life. You've each inspired me to follow my dreams and to approach any and everything with passion and intense curiosity. Mum, you were always my creative inspiration, and my Excel and stationery nerd with whom I could bond for hours with over my colourful spreadsheets. Papa, you've always read through my work and helped with my grammar and spelling since day one – I'd like to think I've improved since the early high school days but whooze too no... Dad, you've shown me how to approach work with a meticulous level of detail, a very handy skill to have in science. Thank you all. Along similar lines I should thank all my families: the Batemanses, Alvarezses, Williamses, Griesserses and Wheelerses. But with mention of the matriarch of each (in no particular grandmotherly order): to Roslyn – for sharing your strength, to Carol – for sharing your passion, to Beth – for sharing your belief, to Carmen – for sharing your smile, and to Gill – for sharing your humour.

To my wonderful colleagues, and friends, at the Australian Botanic Garden Mount Annan, without all of you I would have floundered in a bottomless pit of seeds. Lotte, our wonderful go-to woman, you have mentored me through my entire time at ABG – volunteer to lab assistant to student and everything in-between. Karen, Nathan, Zoe-Joy, and Ganesha, you've each helped me numerous times with questions and ideas I have had and answered them so thoughtfully and kindly. So too have you, Peter, Graeme, Jess, and Kat, you were all instrumental in helping me prepare my experiments, making sure my seeds were well equipped to handle all things I threw at

them. Amelia, my longevity chapter was improved so much thanks to your input and notes. Amanda, Veronica, and Maureen, you helped me along the way with friendship and help when I needed it. Lyndle, you have been saving grace throughout this process. Our endless discussions on the joy of being students have motivated me and kept me sane these past few years. Suz, your help with R in the early days was so beneficial and you have been so kind with the questions I asked of you. Katharine, you gave me the platform to leap into the world of seeds with those initial days of volunteering. Without you, I wouldn't have found such a wonderful second home at ABG.

To all at UTS, you have also been a great help getting me to the finish line. Annie, Thom, and Kieran, our journey through this process together and our discussions about all things postgraduate life related have kept me grounded when it would have been so easy to float to the roof of building 7. Dan, your endless help with R has been a god send. It would have taken me twice as long to finish if you hadn't have helped in the kind and understanding way that you did. To the volunteers I had during my rather intense experimental phase - Laura, Elise, Kimberly, Erin, Sarah, Jordan, Kate, and Lauren - thank you all. Without all of you, I would have floundered even more. I hope you each achieve the goals you set for yourselves, as we discussed many of them in your time volunteering. I also hope you have your zombie apocalypse plans updated after this manic year.

To my friends, Kahleah and Jasmine, both best friends and volunteers combined, you helped me physically, mentally, and emotionally through this process. There isn't enough room in this entire document for me to explain how much I have needed and loved you both. I shall try to make it up to you with more trips to HJs, Maccas and GJs – a lifetimes worth of trips. To all of Panda, you guys were the chaotic distraction of

food, parties, and laughter I needed to get through these past few years. And to Laurence, in the final 6 months of my thesis, and during coronavirus lockdown, you have been a key source of happiness and joy in my life, thank you.

Last, but very certainly not least, to my supervisors. I'm unsure I can explain my gratitude in words but I'm going to sure as hell try. Brad, without you there would be no thesis. Really. Not only have you been an amazingly patient editor, you have been a mentor, friend, and fellow nerd (the title of this thesis is a prime example). You helped guide me through the tough, anxiety-fuelled times with wisdom and patience. Thank you. Cathy, you have been a part of my career-driven journey since I started at ABG. As a woman in science, you have so powerfully forged a career for yourself that I hope to emulate one day, thank you for being my role model and mentor. To my co-supervisor Megan, thank you for your guidance over the years in both academic and tattoo-driven pursuits.

Arbitrarily, I would like to thank coronavirus for throwing a plot twist into the last few months of my thesis. Thank you, I probably needed to be completely locked down to finish this, plus the bonus of a seemingly imminent implosion of the planet was a real morale booster.

I would like to acknowledge that these acknowledgements are rather long for a master's thesis. I am a closeted sentimentalist and had to address as many people as I could, they've all been so helpful throughout this entire process. I even needed to thank a virus.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Certificate of original authorship | ii |
| Acknowledgements..... | iii |
| Table of Contents..... | vi |
| List of Figures | viii |
| List of Tables | xiv |
| Abstract..... | xvi |
| Chapter 1 | 1 |
| 1.1 Climate change and plants | 1 |
| 1.2 Heatwaves and plants..... | 2 |
| 1.3 Heatwaves and seeds | 4 |
| 1.4 Native plant species of the Cumberland Plain Woodland | 6 |
| 1.5 Significance and research context..... | 7 |
| 1.6 Thesis aims and structure..... | 7 |
| Chapter 2 | 10 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 10 |
| 2.2 Materials and Methods..... | 13 |
| 2.3 Results..... | 20 |
| 2.4 Discussion..... | 40 |
| Chapter 3 | 44 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 44 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 3.2 Materials and Methods..... | 48 |
| 3.3 Results..... | 51 |
| 3.4 Discussion..... | 60 |
| Chapter 4 | 70 |
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 70 |
| 4.2 Materials and Methods..... | 72 |
| 4.3 Results..... | 78 |
| 4.4 Discussion..... | 82 |
| Chapter 5 | 86 |
| 5.1 Thesis overview | 86 |
| 5.2 Were the aims of this thesis met? | 87 |
| 5.3 Future research directions | 91 |
| 5.4 Conclusion | 94 |
| Appendices..... | 95 |
| References..... | 105 |

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. A map showing the boundary in relation to the Cumberland Plain Woodland (Google Maps 2020) in relation to Australia. Only 13% of the land within the red boundary line is comprised of untouched land, the remainder is urbanised.

Figure 2.2. The onset of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for each species (separated by the dotted lines) across each of the four experimental treatments (colour coding on the right of the graph). These graphs focus on significant differences among treatments within each species. Recent average 29/17°C, recent heatwave 39/21°C, projected heatwave 43/25°C, and post-fire heatwave 60/22°C. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among treatments within each species are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.3. The onset of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) in the four experimental treatments: (a) recent average, (b) recent heatwave, (c) projected heatwave, and (d) post-fire heatwave for each species. Recent average 29/17°C, recent heatwave 39/21°C, projected heatwave 43/25°C, and post-fire heatwave 60/22°C. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among species within each treatment are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.4. Duration time of germination (mean, \pm SE) for each species (separated by the dotted lines) across each of the four experimental treatments (colour coding on the right of the graph). These graphs focus on significant differences among treatments within each species. Recent average 29/17°C, recent heatwave 39/21°C, projected heatwave 43/25°C, and post-fire heatwave 60/22°C. Significant differences (Tukey's

post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among treatments within each species are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.5. Duration of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) in the four experimental treatments: (a) recent average, (b) recent heatwave, (c) projected heatwave, and (d) post-fire heatwave for each species. Recent average 29/17°C, recent heatwave 39/21°C, projected heatwave 43/25°C, and post-fire heatwave 60/22°C. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among species within each treatment are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.6. Total proportion of germination (mean, \pm SE) for each species (separated by the dotted lines) across each of the four experimental treatments (colour coding on the right of the graph). These graphs focus on significant differences among treatments within each species. Recent average 29/17°C, recent heatwave 39/21°C, projected heatwave 43/25°C, and post-fire heatwave 60/22°C. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among treatments within each species are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.7. Total proportion of germination (mean, \pm SE) in the four experimental treatments: (a) recent average, (b) recent heatwave, (c) projected heatwave, and (d) post-fire heatwave for each species. Recent average 29/17°C, recent heatwave 39/21°C, projected heatwave 43/25°C, and post-fire heatwave 60/22°C. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among species within each treatment are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.8. The onset of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for each species (separated by the dotted lines) across each of the four experimental treatments (colour coding on

the right of the graph). These graphs focus on significant differences among treatments within each species. No heatwave, one heatwave, three heatwaves, and five heatwaves. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among treatments within each species are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.9. The onset of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) in the four experimental treatments: (a) no heatwave, (b) one heatwave, (c) three heatwaves, and (d) five heatwaves for each species. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among species within each treatment are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.10. Duration time of germination (mean, \pm SE) for each species (separated by the dotted lines) across each of the four experimental treatments (colour coding on the right of the graph). These graphs focus on significant differences among treatments within each species. No heatwave, one heatwave, three heatwaves, and five heatwaves. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among treatments within each species are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.11. Duration time of germination (mean, \pm SE) in the four experimental treatments: (a) no heatwave, (b) one heatwave, (c) three heatwaves, and (d) five heatwaves for each species. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among species within each treatment are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.12. Total proportion of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for each species (separated by the dotted lines) across each of the four experimental treatments (colour coding on the right of the graph). These graphs focus on significant differences among treatments within each species. No heatwave, one heatwave, three heatwaves, and five

heatwaves. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among treatments within each species are shown by different letters.

Figure 2.13. Total proportion of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) in the four experimental treatments: (a) no heatwave, (b) one heatwave, (c) three heatwaves, and (d) five heatwaves for each species. Significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) among species within each treatment are shown by different letters.

Figure 3.1. The onset of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for (a) life form, (b) dormancy, and (c) seed mass, in the heatwave intensity experiment. Within life form, significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) between groups are displayed with different letters. As a result of modelling techniques, life form and dormancy are plotted across both negative and positive values on the y-axis. Red trend line added showing linear relationship between seed mass and onset of germination.

Figure 3.2. Duration of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for (a) life form, (b) dormancy, and (c) seed mass, in the heatwave intensity experiment. Within life form, significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) between groups are displayed with different letters. As a result of modelling techniques, life form and dormancy are plotted across both negative and positive values on the y-axis. Red trend line added showing linear relationship between seed mass and duration of germination.

Figure 3.3. The total proportion of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for (a) fire response, (b) life form, and (c) seed mass, in the heatwave intensity experiment. Within life form, significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) between groups are displayed with different letters. As a result of modelling techniques, life form and dormancy are

plotted across both negative and positive values on the y-axis. Red trend line added showing linear relationship between seed mass and total proportion of germination.

Figure 3.4. The onset of seed germination (mean, \pm SE) for (a) life form, (b) dormancy, and (c) seed mass, in the heatwave frequency experiment. Within life form, significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) between groups are displayed with different letters. As a result of modelling techniques, life form and dormancy are plotted across both negative and positive values on the y-axis. Red trend line added showing linear relationship between seed mass and onset of germination.

Figure 3.5. Duration of germination (mean, \pm SE) for (a) fire response, (b) life form, (c) dormancy and (c) seed mass, in the heatwave frequency experiment. Within life form, significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) between groups are displayed with different letters. As a result of modelling techniques, life form and dormancy are plotted across both negative and positive values on the y-axis. Red trend line added showing linear relationship between seed mass and duration of germination.

Figure 3.6. Total proportion of germination (mean, \pm SE) for (a) fire response, (b) life form, (c) dormancy and (c) seed mass, in the heatwave frequency experiment. Within life form, significant differences (Tukey's post-hoc $P < 0.05$) between groups are displayed with different letters. As a result of modelling techniques, life form and dormancy are plotted across both negative and positive values on the y-axis. Red trend line added showing linear relationship between seed mass and total proportion of seed germination.

Figure 3.7. A continuum of the experimental heatwaves from Chapter 2 ranging from moderate to extreme heatwave conditions. Treatments from the intensity experiment

occupy the moderate end of the continuum while the treatments from the frequency experiment occupy the more extreme end of the continuum. Below the line with the arrows are the treatments from both experiments. The dashed line represents the division between the two experiments.

Figure 4.1. Each species x treatment interaction shown as seed viability as a proportion on the y axis and the number of days spent in the LiCl treatment on the x axis. The control group (blue) is directly compared to the experimental heatwave treatment group (red). Both data points (dots) and seed survival curves (solid lines) are included. The vertical dotted line represents p50 in the control group (blue) and the experimental heatwave group (red).

Figure 4.2. A visual summary of all species' seed survival curves with proportion of viable seeds plotted against time (a) all species data points represented as single data points (dots, diamonds) and as a seed survival curve (dotted lines, solid lines) (b) a direct comparison of the two significant groups (blue vs. red) with species listed in the descending order of steepness (most steep to least steep).

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Study species used in the germination experiment with taxonomic family and growth form information. Collection refers to either field collection of seeds or PlantBank accessed seeds. Pre-treatment refers to any standard treatments used to promote seed germination or break seed dormancy.

Table 2.2. Summary of each heatwave scenario within each experiment: Experiment 1 – Intensity and Experiment 2 – Frequency. All temperature sources have been provided for Experiment 1 and Experiment 2. Number of heatwaves in Experiment 2 were sourced from (French *et al.* 2019) and temperatures based on Experiment 1 temperatures.

Table 3.1. Study species used in the analysis with taxonomic family and life-history trait information. Seed mass is the weight of 1000 seeds (g). Life form: grass, herb, shrub, tree, or climber. Fire response: obligate seeder or resprouter. Dormancy: dormant or non-dormant.

Table 3.2. Summary of seed trait patterns with germination across the intensity and frequency experiments to determine similarity in significance level ($P > 0.05$) when comparing between life-history traits within germination attributes; ns = not significant.

Table 3.3. Summary of the direction of response by life-history traits in relation to germination attributes. Each life-history trait fire response (resprouter, obligate seeder), life form (grass, shrub, herb, tree, climber), dormancy (non-dormant, dormant) and seed mass (smaller seeds, larger seeds) was placed into one of two categories for

each germination attribute based on patterns in Table 3.1. Onset of germination was split into quick and delayed reaction, duration of germination was split into short and long time periods, and germination proportion was split into low and high proportions of germination.

Table 4.1. Study species used in the longevity experiment with taxonomic family and growth form information. Group 1 and group 2 refer to the timeframe the seeds were exposed to in the LiCl chambers. Collection refers to either field collection of seeds or PlantBank seed accessions. Pre-treatment refers to any standard treatments used to promote seed germination or break seed dormancy (scarification) or make them easier to handle as pure samples (removal of frass).

Table 4.2. Summary of the conditions present in LiCl chambers for both the rehydration and ageing phases in the longevity experiment. Group 1 and group 2 both had the same temperature/humidity and duration in LiCl chamber for the rehydration, and the same temperature/humidity for ageing. Group 1 had a shorter number of days of removal from LiCl chamber than group 2 which was more spread out.

Table 4.3 A rank of species according to the steepness of the survival curve and p50. Rank 1-6 of p50 value and steepness of curve.

Abstract

Human-induced climate change is the primary source of a global increase in temperature and extreme weather events such as heatwaves. Heatwaves are increasing in intensity and frequency causing detrimental changes to plant communities worldwide, with the temperate woodlands in south-eastern Australia as no exception. The frequency and intensity of heatwaves in the Cumberland Plain Woodland (CPW) are expected to increase, exposing seeds, the most vulnerable stage of the plant lifecycle, to new conditions. This could alter the composition and biodiversity of this threatened ecological community. In this thesis, I aim to understand the link between the physiological mechanisms behind seed germination and the ecological context of these species to understand the future plant community composition of the CPW region.

I first established the effect of experimental heatwaves on seed germination attributes in native plant species, with focus on intensity and frequency. Each species' response to each treatment differed with no consistent pattern. A few species were driving the species x treatment interaction so, I considered the underlying mechanisms causing this interaction by studying the life-history traits of species. I found that life-history traits were related to the interspecific patterns of variation in all three germination attributes, with life form having the greatest influence over seed germination. Seed mass and dormancy also influenced germination attributes but to a lesser degree than life form, and fire response only partially influenced germination attributes.

Considering the findings outlined above, I focused on seed longevity of a small subset of species. I found that exposure to a single, experimental, post-fire heatwave had no

significant effect on seed longevity compared to a control group not exposed to heatwave conditions. However, the responses of species varied differently depending on the time exposed to heatwaves. I did find a species x time interaction. There was also a link between taxonomic group and germination attributes. Asteraceae were short-lived and Myrtaceae were long-lived, however, Fabaceae did not have the same kind of longevity, instead *A. decurrens* was short-lived and *H. violacea* was long-lived.

The work presented in this thesis provides information regarding: the effect of intense and frequent heatwaves on seed germination attributes, the role of life-history traits in contributing to seed germination attributes over and above the idiosyncratic levels found after a heatwave event, and the impact of a single intense heatwave on species' longevity, from native plant species found in the Cumberland Plain Woodland.